arXiv:1304.7838v1 [math.DG] 30 Apr 2013

THE INFINITESIMALIZATION AND RECONSTRUCTION OF LOCALLY HOMOGENEOUS MANIFOLDS

ANTHONY D. BLAOM

Abstract. A linear connection on a Lie algebroid is called a *Cartan connection* if it is suitably compatible with the Lie algebroid structure. Here we show that a smooth connected manifold M is locally homogeneous — i.e., admits an atlas of local coordinates modeled on some homogeneous space G/H — if and only if there exists a transitive Lie algebroid over M admitting a flat Cartan connection that is "geometrically closed". It is shown how the torsion and monodromy of the connection determine the particular form of G/H. Under an additional completeness hypothesis, local homogeneity becomes *global* homogeneity, up to cover.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Cartan connections on Lie algebroids 5
3. Infinitesimalization 9
4. The development of Lie algebra actions 12
5. Reconstruction 15
6. Completeness 17
References 20

1. Introduction

Let M be a smooth connected manifold. Then M is *locally homogeneous* if, for some homogeneous space G/H, the smooth structure of M can be stiffened to a $\mathcal{G}$-structure, where $\mathcal{G}$ is the pseudogroup of all those local transformations of G/H that are restrictions of a global transformation corresponding to an element of G.

1.1. **Main results.** The chief purpose of this article is to re-examine local homogeneity from the Lie groupoid point of view. This leads, in particular, to the conclusion that a locally homogeneous manifold can be infinitesimalized to obtain a transitive Lie algebroid over M, equipped with a flat linear connection ∇ that is compatible with the Lie algebroid structure, i.e. is

---

Date: May 1, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C30; Secondary 53C15, 53C07.

Key words and phrases. Locally homogeneous, Lie algebroid, Cartan connection, completeness.

a *Cartan* connection (see §2.1 below). As we shall elucidate, ∇ being flat amounts to the existence of a transitive action by some Lie *algebra* on M "twisted" by a monodromy representation.

Not all transitive Lie algebroids equipped with a flat Cartan connection are infinitesimalizations of a locally homogeneous manifold. If $(\mathfrak{g}, \nabla)$ is an infinitesimalization, then ∇ must be *geometrically closed*, in a sense made precise below. Fortunately, geometric closure is sufficient for reversing the infinitesimalization procedure:

Theorem A. *A smooth manifold M is locally homogeneous if and only if there exists a transitive Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ over M admitting a flat, geometrically closed, Cartan connection ∇.*

As we show in §5, the particular model G/H that applies is encoded in the torsion and monodromy of ∇. By contrast, in the predominant approach to local homogeneity, one fixes a particular homogeneous space G/H *a priori*, and asks if M admits G/H as a local model. In practice, this requires one to anticipate an appropriate model, or check several candidates systematically. See, e.g., the survey [8] for this point of view.

1.2. **Geometric Closure.** We first define the notion of geometric closure for the transitive action of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ on M. In that setting $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times M$ is a Lie algebroid over M known as an *action algebroid* (see §2.3 below). According to Dazord [7], all action algebroids are integrable. So there is a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ integrating $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times M$, which we may take to be source-simply-connected [6, Lie I]. If G_0 denotes the simply-connected Lie group integrating the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$, then there exists a Lie groupoid morphism $\Omega \colon \mathcal{G} \to G_0$ integrating the projection $\omega \colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \times M \to \mathfrak{g}_0$ (a morphism of Lie algebroids) [6, Lie II]. Fix some $m_0 \in M$ and let $\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0}$ denote the isotropy group at m_0 (the group of arrows of $\mathcal{G}$ simultaneously beginning and ending at m_0). We say the action of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is *geometrically closed* if $H_0 = \Omega(\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0})$ is a closed subgroup of G_0. Because $\mathfrak{g}_0$ acts transitively, $\mathcal{G}$ is transitive. It follows that the choice of point $m_0 \in M$ in this definition is immaterial.

For an example a Lie algebra action that is *not* geometrically closed, see [10, Example 8] and [11].

Now let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a transitive Lie algebroid equipped with a flat Cartan connection ∇, and suppose, to begin with, that M is simply-connected. In that case, according to [2], $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to an action algebroid $M \times \mathfrak{g}_0$, where $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the (finite-dimensional) Lie subalgebra of ∇-parallel sections of $\mathfrak{g}$; for details, see §2.4 below. We say ∇ is *geometrically closed* if the associated action of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ on M is geometrically closed, in the sense of the preceding paragraph.

If M is not simply-connected, then pull $\mathfrak{g}$ back along the universal covering projection $\tilde{M} \to M$ to a Lie algebroid $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ over the universal cover $\tilde{M}$ of M; see §2.5. The pullback connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a flat Cartan connection on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and we say that ∇ is *geometrically closed* if $\tilde{\nabla}$ is geometrically closed.

1.3. **Discussion.** The novelty of Theorem A lies mainly in the point of view, as explained in §1.1 above. A Lie algebroid over M equipped with a flat Cartan connection amounts to a Lie algebra action on the universal cover $\tilde{M}$ that suitably respects covering transformations. We reconstruct a locally homogeneous structure on M by applying Cartan's development technique to such actions. Excellent expositions of this technique may be found elsewhere; see e.g., [14]. (That said, Dazord's integrability result [7], and Lie groupoid formalism, provide for an economic treatment of development, which is offered in §4.)

For a detailed treatment of infinitesimal actions of Lie algebras, we refer the reader to [1, 10].

Theorem A suggests a two-step strategy for establishing the local homogeneity of a smooth manifold: (i) construct a transitive Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ over M, equipped with some Cartan connection ∇ (not necessarily flat); and (ii) attempt to deform ∇, within the class of Cartan connections, to one that is simultaneously flat and geometrically closed.

The first step may be accomplished by equipping M with some finite-type geometric structure, such as a Riemannian structure, and applying Cartan's method of equivalence, as we have described in [3]. Cartan's method gives us a Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g} \subset J^k(TM)$, equipped with a Cartan connection ∇, whose curvature is the local obstruction to infinitesimal isometries of the finite-type structure.

One applies step (ii) above to $\mathfrak{g}$, or an appropriate prolongation thereof, and, under appropriate conditions, the problem of finding a flat Cartan connection is reduced to finding a global solution to certain *linear* pde's admitting local solutions everywhere. The obstruction to a global solution is then a class in the Čech cohomology of M, over coefficients in the solution-sheaf of the pde's, but relating this to cohomology over simpler coefficients may be difficult in general.

We have carried out this program in the special case of a Riemann surface, sorting out the problem of interpreting the cohomology class using Serre duality.[1] This would allow us to recover the existence of complex projective coordinates on surfaces of positive Euler characteristic, as in Gunning [9], if it weren't for the fact that we do not know if the flat Cartan connection obtained is geometrically closed.

In any case, Theorem A furnishes a strategy for constructing topological invariants of smooth manifolds, arising as obstructions to the existence of locally homogeneous structures.

Theorem A also engenders the following question: What are the global analogues of possibly *intransitive* Lie algebroids over M equipped with flat Cartan connections? The answer: A class of differentiable pseudogroups of transformations on M, generalizing the canonical pseudogroups of transformations associated with locally homogeneous structures. As it turns out, such pseudogroups are not necessarily *Lie* pseudogroups in the classical sense. They nevertheless have a very satisfactory "Lie theory" which is sketched in [3, Appendix A] and described further in [4].

---

[1] Details to appear elsewhere.

1.4. Completeness and homogeneity up to cover.

Suppose there exists a Lie group G acting transitively on the universal cover $\tilde{M}$ of M, with G understood to contain the group $\Gamma \cong \pi_1(M)$ of covering transformations as a subgroup, and with the action of G on $\tilde{M}$ extending the tautological action of Γ. In this case M is a double quotient of groups, $M \cong (G/H)/\Gamma$ and M may be said to be *homogeneous up to cover*. Under a suitable completeness hypothesis, locally homogeneous manifolds are already homogeneous up to cover. See, for example, Thurston's lucid account [15].

To formulate a notion of completeness for Cartan connections leading to a strengthening of Theorem A, let $\mathfrak{g}$ be any Lie algebroid over M and let $t \mapsto X_t \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a smooth path, defined on some interval of the real line. Let $m_t \in M$ be the footprint of X_t and $\dot{m}_t \in TM$ its velocity. Then $t \mapsto X_t$ is called a $\mathfrak{g}$-*path* if $\#(X_t) = \dot{m}_t$, for all t. If ∇ is a linear connection on $\mathfrak{g}$ (not necessarily flat or Cartan), then a $\mathfrak{g}$-path $X_t \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called a *geodesic* of ∇ if $\nabla_{\dot{m}_t} X_t = 0$ for all t. A geodesic of the Levi-Cevita connection associated with a Riemannian metric is then a geodesic in the standard sense if we take $\mathfrak{g} = TM$.

The usual argument for the existence of geodesics in Riemannian geometry carries over to the general case: Through every point of $\mathfrak{g}$ there passes a unique geodesic of ∇. We call ∇ *complete* if every geodesic X_t of ∇ can be defined for all time $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

The reader is to be warned that compactness of M is *not* sufficient for completeness of ∇; a simple counterexample is given in §6.1. If, in addition, the image of the monodromy representation has compact closure, then ∇ is indeed complete. Alternatively, if M admits a Riemannian metric invariant with respect to a natural action of the Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ on $S^2(T^*M)$, then ∇ is again complete. Precise statements and proofs are given in §6, along with a proof of the following variation on Theorem A:

Theorem B. *A smooth connected manifold M is homogeneous up to cover if and only if there exists a transitive Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ over M admitting a flat, geometrically closed, complete, Cartan connection ∇.*

1.5. Outline.

The present article is organized as follows: In §2 we review the notion of Cartan connections on Lie algebroids and explain the sense in which flat Cartan connections amount to Lie algebra actions twisted by a monodromy representation (Theorem 2.5). In §3, we construct the infinitesimalization $(\mathfrak{g}, \nabla)$ of a locally homogeneous structure on M. We also construct an integrating Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ and use it to show that ∇ is geometrically closed. This establishes the necessity of the conditions in Theorem A.

In §4 we define the development of the infinitesimal action of a Lie algebra and describe its behavior under "equivariant coordinate changes". This is applied in §5 to reconstruct a locally homogeneous structure from any transitive Lie algebroid equipped with a flat, geometrically closed, Cartan connection, which completes the proof of Theorem A. In §5 we also explain how the torsion and monodromy of ∇ determine the particular homogeneous model G/H that applies.

Finally, in §6, we prove Theorem B and offer some sufficient conditions for completeness in the special case that M is compact.

The present article was catalyzed by discussions with Ercüment Ortaçgil, which are gratefully acknowledged.

1.6. **Notation.** Throughout this paper $\mathfrak{g}$ denotes a Lie algebroid, $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\mathfrak{h}_0$ Lie algebras, G_0, H_0, G and H Lie groups, and $\mathcal{G}$ a Lie groupoid.

2. Cartan connections on Lie algebroids

We assume the reader is familiar with the notion of $\mathfrak{g}$-connections and $\mathfrak{g}$-representations ($\mathfrak{g}$ a Lie algebroid). See, for example, [2] or [3]. With the exception of §2.5, the present section is mostly a summary of [2].

2.1. **Cartan connections defined.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a vector bundle over M. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between linear connections ∇ on $\mathfrak{g}$ and splittings $s_\nabla \colon \mathfrak{g} \to J^1\mathfrak{g}$ of the associated exact sequence

$$0 \to T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow J^1\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \to 0;$$

this correspondence is given by

$$s_\nabla X = J^1X + \nabla X. \tag{1}$$

Here $J^1\mathfrak{g}$ is the vector bundle of one-jets of sections of $\mathfrak{g}$, and the inclusion $T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow J^1\mathfrak{g}$ is the morphism whose corresponding map on section spaces sends $df \otimes X$ to $fJ^1X - J^1(fX)$.

Now suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is not just a vector bundle but a Lie algebroid. Then ∇ is a *Cartan connection* if the vector bundle morphism $s_\nabla \colon \mathfrak{g} \to J^1\mathfrak{g}$ is a morphism *of Lie algebroids*.

Recall here that $J^1\mathfrak{g}$ has a natural Lie algebroid structure determined by the requirement

$$J^1[X,Y] = [J^1X, J^1Y], \qquad \#J^1X = \#X; \qquad X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}). \tag{2}$$

Here and throughout, the anchor of a Lie algebroid is denoted $\#$. For details and an explicit formula for the bracket on $J^1\mathfrak{g}$, see [2], where it is also shown that ∇ is Cartan if and only if its *cocurvature* vanishes. The latter is a tensor $\operatorname{cocurv} \nabla \in \Gamma(\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{cocurv} \nabla\,(X,Y)V = \nabla_V[X,Y] - [\nabla_V X, Y] - [X, \nabla_V Y] \\ + \nabla_{\bar\nabla_X V} Y - \nabla_{\bar\nabla_Y V} X. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

In the above formula $\bar{\nabla}$ denotes the so-called *associated* $\mathfrak{g}$*-connection* on TM, defined by $\bar{\nabla}_X V = \#\nabla_V X + [\#X, V]$. There is also an *associated* $\mathfrak{g}$*-connection* on $\mathfrak{g}$ itself, also denoted $\bar{\nabla}$, and defined by $\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_Y X + [X, Y]$. For this connection one can define *torsion* in the usual way, by

$$\operatorname{tor}\bar{\nabla}(X,Y) = \bar{\nabla}_X Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y X - [X,Y] = \bar{\nabla}_{\#Y} X - \bar{\nabla}_{\#X} Y + [X,Y].$$

When ∇ is Cartan both associated connections are *flat*, i.e., define representations of the Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ on TM and $\mathfrak{g}$.

2.2. **Cartan connection-preserving morphisms.** From a well-known characterization of Lie algebroid morphisms given in, e.g., [12, Proposition 4.3.12], one readily establishes the following:

Proposition. *Let* $\mathfrak{g}_1$ *be a Lie algebroid over* M_1 *with Cartan connection* ∇^1 *and* $\mathfrak{g}_2$ *a Lie algebroid over* M_2 *with Cartan connection* ∇^2*. Then a connection-preserving vector bundle map* $\Phi\colon \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_2$*, covering some smooth map* $\phi\colon M_1 \to M_2$*, is a Lie algebroid morphism if and only if:*

(1) $\# \circ \Phi = T\phi \circ \#$*, i.e.,* Φ *respects anchors; and*
(2) $\Phi \operatorname{tor}\bar{\nabla}^1(X,Y) = \operatorname{tor}\bar{\nabla}^2(\Phi X, \Phi Y)$*, for all* $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$*.*

Here $\bar{\nabla}^i$ *is the associated* $\mathfrak{g}_i$*-connection on* $\mathfrak{g}_i$*.*

2.3. **Equivariance with twist.** Let $\mathfrak{g}_0$ be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra. If $\mathfrak{g}_0$ acts smoothly on M from the left[2] then we denote the corresponding Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_0 \to \Gamma(TM)$ by $\xi \mapsto \xi^\dagger$. The canonical flat connection ∇ on the action algebroid $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \times M$ is an example of a Cartan connection. As we recall in §2.4 below, this is, locally, the only example of a flat Cartan connection.

Recall that the anchor of an action algebroid $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times M$ is defined by $\#(\xi, m) = \xi^\dagger(m)$, and that the bracket on sections of $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times M$ ($\mathfrak{g}_0$-valued functions on M) is given by

$$[X,Y] := \nabla_{\#X} Y - \nabla_{\#Y} X + \tau(X,Y), \tag{1}$$

where τ is the section of $\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ defined by

$$\tau(X,Y)(m) := [X(m), Y(m)]_{\mathfrak{g}_0}; \qquad X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}_0 \times M).$$

Note that the associated $\mathfrak{g}$-connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ has torsion τ.

Now suppose that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ acts smoothly on two manifolds M_1 and M_2, and let $\operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ denote the vector space of Lie algebra endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Then we will say that a smooth map $\phi\colon M_1 \to M_2$ is $\mathfrak{g}_0$*-equivariant* with *twist* $\mu \in \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ if $\xi^\dagger$ and $(\mu\xi)^\dagger$ are ϕ-related, for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. The twist need not be unique. Applying Proposition 2.1, we obtain:

Proposition. *Every connection-preserving Lie algebroid morphism*

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 \times M_1 \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathfrak{g}_0 \times M_2$$

---

[2] Our convention for defining the bracket on the Lie algebra/algebroid of a Lie group/groupoid is to use *right*-invariant vector fields.

is of the form $\Phi = \mu \times \phi$, *for some smooth* $\mathfrak{g}_0$*-equivariant map* $\phi\colon M_1 \to M_2$ *with twist* $\mu \in \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$.

Here $(\mu \times \phi)(\xi, m) := (\mu\xi, \phi(m))$.

The significance of $\mathfrak{g}_0$-equivariance in the present context is established in Theorem 2.5 below.

2.4. **The local form of a Lie algebroid with flat Cartan connection.** For the moment, suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is an arbitrary vector bundle over M, equipped with a linear connection ∇. Denote the finite-dimensional subspace of ∇-parallel sections of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{g}_0$. In the special case that ∇ is flat, and M is simply-connected, we obtain a connection-preserving isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_0 \times M &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{g} \\ (\xi, m) &\mapsto \xi(m). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Proposition. *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be a Lie algebroid over a smooth connected manifold* M *and* ∇ *a Cartan connection, not necessarily flat. Then:*

(2) *The subspace* $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$ *of* ∇*-parallel sections is a Lie subalgebra.*

(3) *The bracket on* $\mathfrak{g}_0$ *coincides with the torsion of the associated* $\mathfrak{g}$*-connection on* $\mathfrak{g}$*, in the sense that*

$$[\xi, \eta](m) = \operatorname{tor} \bar{\nabla}(\xi(m), \eta(m)),$$

for any $m \in M$; $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. *Here* $\bar{\nabla}$ *denotes the associated* $\mathfrak{g}$*-connection on* $\mathfrak{g}$.

(4) *The mapping* $(\xi, m) \mapsto \#\xi(m)\colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \times M \to TM$ *defines a smooth action of the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}_0$ *on* M*, making* $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times M$ *into an action algebroid.*

(5) *If* ∇ *is flat, and* M *is simply-connected, then the canonical isomorphism* $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_0 \times M$ *in* (1) *is an isomorphism of Lie algebroids.*

2.5. **Monodromy and the global form.** Again suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is an arbitrary vector bundle over M. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes its pullback to a vector bundle over the universal cover $\tilde{M}$ of M. Let Γ denote the group of covering transformations of M. Then it is an elementary observation that there exists a unique lift of the tautological action of Γ on $\tilde{M}$ to an action on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ satisfying the following requirement: For all $X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\phi \in \Gamma$, X and $\phi \cdot X$ have the same image under the canonical projection $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g}$. Evidently Γ acts on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by vector bundle automorphisms, and we recover the original vector bundle over M as a quotient: $\mathfrak{g} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}/\Gamma$.

Now suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ is equipped with a flat linear connection ∇ and let $\tilde{\nabla}$ denote the pullback of ∇ to a connection on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, also flat. Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \Gamma(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ denote the vector space of $\tilde{\nabla}$-parallel sections. Then, as $\tilde{M}$ is simply-connected, we have a canonical isomorphism $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{g}_0 \times \tilde{M}$ (as discussed in §2.4 above) and consequently $\mathfrak{g} \cong (\mathfrak{g}_0 \times \tilde{M})/\Gamma$. Since the action of Γ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ described above automatically preserves $\tilde{\nabla}$, it must be of the form

$$\phi \cdot (\xi, \tilde{m}) = (\mu_\phi \xi, \phi(\tilde{m})) = (\mu_\phi \times \phi)(\xi, \tilde{m}), \tag{1}$$

for some uniquely determined group homomorphism $\mu \mapsto \mu_\phi \colon \Gamma \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. This is the *monodromy representation* associated with the flat connection ∇.

Remark. As the reader will recall, monodromy has the following alternative interpretation. Let $\tilde{m}_0 \in \tilde{M}$ be a point covering any fixed point $m_0 \in M$. Then there is an isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_0 \cong \mathfrak{g}|_{m_0}$ in which each $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ corresponds to the image of $\xi(\tilde{m}_0)$ under the canonical projection $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g}$. Also, Γ may be identified with the fundamental group $\pi_1(M, m_0)$. Under these identifications $\mu_\phi(\xi)$ is the ∇-parallel translate of $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}|_{m_0}$ along any closed path in M representing $\phi \in \pi_1(M, m_0)$.

Proposition. *In the scenario above, suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebroid and ∇ a flat Cartan connection on $\mathfrak{g}$, so that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a Lie algebra acting on $\tilde{M}$ (see Proposition 2.4). Then:*

(2) *The canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \cong (\mathfrak{g}_0 \times \tilde{M})/\Gamma$ is an isomorphism of Lie algebroids.*
(3) *The group of covering transformations Γ acts in the monodromy representation $\mu \mapsto \mu_\phi$ by Lie algebra automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}_0$.*
(4) *Each covering transformation $\phi \in \Gamma$ is a $\mathfrak{g}_0$-equivariant diffeomorphism with twist $\mu_\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$.*
(5) *If we restrict the tensor $\operatorname{tor} \bar{\nabla} \in \Gamma(\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ to define a bracket on the fibre $\mathfrak{g}|_{m_0}$, then the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_0 \cong \mathfrak{g}|_{m_0}$ in the above Remark is an isomorphism of Lie algebras. Here $\bar{\nabla}$ denotes the $\mathfrak{g}$-connection on $\mathfrak{g}$ associated with ∇.*

Proof. Evidently, there is a unique Lie algebroid structure on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that the projection $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebroid morphism. With respect to this structure, the action of Γ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is by Lie algebroid isomorphisms. Thus the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}/\Gamma$ may be regarded as a Lie algebroid isomorphism. (None of these statements depend on the existence of a trivialization $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{g}_0 \times \tilde{M}$.) On the other hand, the isomorphism $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{g}_0 \times \tilde{M}$ determined by the flat connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a Lie algebroid isomorphism, by Proposition 2.4(5). So (2) holds.

Conclusions (3) and (4) are consequences of Proposition 2.3, and that fact that Γ acts on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{g}_0 \times \tilde{M}$ by Lie algebroid isomorphisms. Conclusion (5) follows from Proposition 2.4(3) and Proposition 2.2. □

The constructions above are reversible. Indeed, let $\mathfrak{g}_0$ be an arbitrary Lie algebra acting smoothly on the universal cover $\tilde{M}$ of M, and let $\phi \mapsto \mu_\phi \colon \Gamma \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ be a representation of Γ by Lie algebra automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ satisfying(4) (of which there may be more than one). Then the action of Γ on the action algebroid $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathfrak{g}_0 \times \tilde{M}$ defined by (1) is by Lie algebroid automorphisms, implying that the quotient $\mathfrak{g} := \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}/\Gamma$ is a Lie algebroid. Moreover, the canonical flat Cartan connection on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \times \tilde{M}$ drops to a flat Cartan connection on $\mathfrak{g}$ whose monodromy is precisely μ. This establishes the following:

Theorem. *Let* $\Gamma \cong \pi_1(M)$ *denote the group of covering transformations of* $\tilde{M}$*. Then there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between: (i) pairs* $(\mathfrak{g}, \nabla)$*, where* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is a Lie algebroid over* M *and* ∇ *is a flat Cartan connection; and (ii) pairs* $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mu)$*, where* $\mathfrak{g}_0$ *is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra acting smoothly on* $\tilde{M}$*, and* μ *is a representation of* Γ *on* $\mathfrak{g}_0$ *by Lie algebra automorphisms such that each covering transformation* $\phi \in \Gamma$ *is a* $\mathfrak{g}_0$*-equivariant diffeomorphism with twist* $\mu_\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$*.*

3. Infinitesimalization

In this section we prove necessity of the conditions in Theorem A, i.e., that for every locally homogeneous manifold M, there exists a transitive Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ over M supporting a flat, geometrically closed, Cartan connection ∇. We refer to the particular pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \nabla)$ constructed below as the locally homogeneous structure's *infinitesimalization*.

In the process of establishing the geometric closure of ∇, we are also led to construct a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ integrating $\mathfrak{g}$. It should be noted that this Lie groupoid does not coincide with the groupoid associated, by a well-known procedure, with the pseudogroup of transformations of M determined by the locally homogeneous structure, unless G is simply-connected and the action of G on G/H is faithful.

3.1. Construction of the infinitesimalization.

Suppose M is a locally homogeneous manifold modeled on G/H and let $\psi_i\colon U_i \to G/H$, $i \in I$, be an atlas of coordinate charts adapted to the model. This means that for each $i, j \in I$ for which $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$, we are given an element $g_{ji} \in G$, such that $(\psi_j \circ \psi_i^{-1})(x) = g_{ji} \cdot x$ for each $x \in \psi_i(U_i) \cap \psi_j(U_j)$. Moreover, the elements g_{ji} satisfy the cocycle conditions $g_{ii} = \mathrm{id}$, and $g_{ij} g_{jk} = g_{ik}$ for all $i, j, k \in I$.

Now let $\mathfrak{g}_0$ denote the Lie algebra of G. Infinitesimalizing the action of G on G/H, we obtain a Lie algebra homomorphism $\xi \mapsto \xi^\dagger\colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \Gamma(T(G/H))$, which makes $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times G/H$ into a transitive action algebroid. In particular, each restriction $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times \psi_i(U_i) \subset \mathfrak{g}_0 \times G/H$ is a transitive action algebroid over $\psi_i(U_i)$. We claim that the Lie algebroids $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times \psi_i(U_i)$, $i \in I$, are local trivializations of a single transitive Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ over M, the canonical flat connections on the $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times \psi_i(U_i)$ representing a flat Cartan connection ∇ on $\mathfrak{g}$.

To see this, define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on the set

$$\{(\xi, x, i) \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \times G/H \times I \mid x \in \psi_i(U_i)\}$$

(the disjoint union of the sets $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times \psi_i(U_i)$, $i \in I$) by declaring $(\xi, x, i) \sim (\xi', x', i')$ whenever there is an $m \in U_i \cap U_{i'}$ such that $\psi_i(m) = x$, $\psi_{i'}(m) = x'$ and $\xi' = \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{i'i}} \xi$. Then the set $\mathfrak{g}$ of equivalence classes is a smooth vector bundle over M, with footprint projection $[\xi, x, i] \mapsto \psi_i^{-1}(x)$; here $[\xi, x, i]$ denotes the class with representative (ξ, x, i).

The vector bundle $\mathfrak{g}$ admits local trivializations given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g}|_{U_i} &\xrightarrow{\Psi_i} \mathfrak{g}_0 \times \psi_i(U_i)\\ [\xi, x, i] &\mapsto (\xi, x),\end{aligned}$$

with transition functions given by

$$(\Psi_j \circ \Psi_i^{-1})(\xi, x) = (\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{ji}} \xi, g_{ji} \cdot x). \tag{1}$$

In particular, the transition functions preserve the canonical flat connections on the action algebroids $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times \psi_i(U_i)$. It follows that there is a (necessarily flat) connection ∇ on $\mathfrak{g}$ that is locally represented by the canonical flat connection on each $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times \psi_i(U_i)$.

If we write $L_g(x) := g{\cdot}x$, then the pushforward of $\xi^\dagger$ by the transformation $L_g\colon G/H \to G/H$ is $(\mathrm{Ad}_g \xi)^\dagger$. Using this fact, one easily sees that there is a well-defined vector bundle epimorphism $\#\colon \mathfrak{g} \to TM$, defined locally by

$$\#(\Psi_i^{-1}(\xi, x)) = T\psi_i^{-1} \cdot \xi^\dagger(x).$$

It remains to define a Lie bracket on sections of $\mathfrak{g}$ for which $\#$ is a compatible anchor. To this end, notice that each local trivialization Ψ_i identifies a fibre $\mathfrak{g}|_m$ ($m \in U_i$) with $\mathfrak{g}_0$. This identification depends on the local trivialization chosen, but only up to adjoint transformations of $\mathfrak{g}_0$; it consequently transfers the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}_0$ to one on $\mathfrak{g}|_m$ that is trivialization-independent. We let $\tau \in \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ denote the tensor whose restriction to each fibre $\mathfrak{g}|_m$ is the Lie bracket just defined. A bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ is then given by (c.f. 2.4(1)):

$$[X, Y] := \nabla_{\#X} Y - \nabla_{\#Y} X + \tau(X, Y).$$

With this bracket $\mathfrak{g}$ becomes a transitive Lie algebroid and the local trivializations $\Psi_i\colon \mathfrak{g}|_{U_i} \to \mathfrak{g}_0 \times \psi_i(U_i)$ become connection-preserving Lie algebroid morphisms. In particular, the connection ∇ is Cartan because its local representatives are.

3.2. **Geometric closure.** To establish geometric closure of the infinitesimalization $\mathfrak{g}$, we will construct the source-simply-connected transitive Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ integrating $\mathfrak{g}$. To this end, let G_0 denote the universal cover of G (which also acts on G/H) and define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on the set

$$\Xi = \{(g, x, i, j) \in G_0 \times G/H \times I \times I \mid x \in \psi_i(U_i) \text{ and } g \cdot x \in \psi_j(U_j)\},$$

by declaring $(g, x, i, j) \sim (g', x', i', j')$ whenever: (i) there exists $m \in M$ such that $\psi_i(m) = x$ and $\psi_{i'}(m) = x'$, (ii) $g' = g_{j'j} g g_{ii'}$, and (iii) $x' = g_{i'i} \cdot x$. Note that for any fixed $i, j \in I$, the set

$$\mathcal{G}_{ij} = \{(g, x) \in G_0 \times \psi_i(U_i) \mid (g, x, i, j) \in \Xi\}$$

is an open subset of $G_0 \times \psi_i(U_i)$, and that there is an obvious atlas of charts on the set of equivalence classes $\mathcal{G} = \Xi/\sim$ (one chart with image $\mathcal{G}_{ij}$ for each pair $(i, j) \in I \times I$) that makes $\mathcal{G}$ into a smooth manifold modeled on $G_0 \times G/H$. Moreover, $\mathcal{G}$ becomes a Lie groupoid over M with source projection $[g, x, i, j] \mapsto \psi_i^{-1}(x)$, target projection $[g, x, i, j] \mapsto \psi_j^{-1}(g \cdot x)$, canonical inclusion $M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ given by $U_i \ni m \mapsto [\mathrm{id}, \psi_i^{-1}(m), i, i]$, and multiplication given by

$$[g, x, i, j][h, y, k, l] = [g g_{il} h, y, k, j].$$

Here $[g, x, i, j]$ denotes the equivalence class with representative (g, x, i, j). The reader will readily verify the independence of class representative in the preceding definitions.

The inclusion $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow T\mathcal{G}$ which identifies the infinitesimalization $\mathfrak{g}$ with the Lie algebroid of $\mathcal{G}$ is given by

$$[\xi, x, i] \mapsto \frac{d}{dt}[\exp(t\xi), x, i, i]\Big|_{t=0}.$$

Here we are viewing elements of the Lie algebroid of a Lie groupoid as vectors tangent to source-fibres.

To establish geometric closure we may suppose that M is simply-connected. In that case, according to §2.4, we have a global Lie algebroid trivialization $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_0 \times M$. Letting $\omega\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_0$ denote the corresponding projection, there exist elements $\omega_i \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ such that $\omega([\xi, x, i]) = \omega_i \xi$ and, by 3.1(1), we have

$$\omega_j^{-1}\omega_i = \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{ji}}\,. \tag{1}$$

Since G_0 is simply-connected, there exists, for each $i \in I$, a unique element $\Omega_i \in \mathrm{Aut}(G_0)$ integrating $\omega_i \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. By (1), we have

$$(\Omega_j^{-1} \circ \Omega_i)(g) = g_{ji} g g_{ji}^{-1} = g_{ji} g g_{ij}.$$

It follows that there is a well-defined map $\Omega\colon \mathcal{G} \to G_0$ given by

$$\Omega([g, x, i, j]) = \Omega_i(g_{ij} g),$$

which is furthermore seen to be the Lie groupoid morphism integrating $\omega\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_0$.

To establish the geometric closure of ∇ it remains only to show that $\Omega(\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0})$ is a closed subgroup of G_0, where $\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0}$ denotes the isotropy of $\mathcal{G}$ at some arbitrarily fixed $m_0 \in M$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose there exists some $i \in I$ and $m_0 \in U_i$ such that $\psi_i(m_0) = x_0$, where $x_0 = \mathrm{id}H \subset G/H$. (If not, redefine the atlas on M to arrange this.) In that case, one sees that

$$\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0} = \{[h, x_0, i, i] \mid \pi(h) \in H\},$$

where $\pi\colon G_0 \to G$ denotes the covering projection. It follows that

$$\Omega(\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0}) = \pi^{-1}(H),$$

which is clearly closed in G_0 because $H \subset G$ is closed.

4. The development of Lie algebra actions

To reconstruct a locally homogeneous structure, from a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \nabla)$ satisfying the conditions in Theorem A, we will use Cartan's development technique. We pause here to describe development using the economy afforded by Lie groupoid language, and to show that the development is suitably equivariant.

4.1. **Development defined.**

Let $\mathfrak{g}_0$ be any finite-dimensional Lie algebra acting smoothly on M, and let G_0 denote the simply-connected Lie group having $\mathfrak{g}_0$ as its Lie algebra. *Assume the action is transitive and geometrically closed.* The development is always defined as a map from the universal cover $\tilde{M}$ (on which $\mathfrak{g}_0$ acts also) so, without loss of generality, *we will assume M is simply-connected.*

Let $\mathcal{G}$ and $\Omega\colon \mathcal{G} \to G_0$ have the meanings given in §1.2. Since we assume $\mathfrak{g}_0$ acts transitively, $\mathcal{G}$ is a transitive Lie groupoid (because its orbits are disjoint and open and M is connected). Consequently, if we arbitrarily fix some $m_0 \in M$, and if $P \subset \mathcal{G}$ denotes the source-fibre over m_0 (the subset of all arrows in $\mathcal{G}$ beginning at m_0), then P is a principal $\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0}$-bundle over M. Here $\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0} \subset P$ denotes the isotropy of $\mathcal{G}$ at m_0 (the group of all arrows beginning and ending at m_0) which is a Lie group acting on P from the right. The bundle projection $P \to M$ is just the restriction of the target-projection $\mathcal{G} \to M$ of the groupoid $\mathcal{G}$.

Because $\Omega\colon \mathcal{G} \to G_0$ integrates the projection $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times M \to \mathfrak{g}_0$ (a point-wise isomorphism), the restriction of the integrating morphism $\Omega\colon \mathcal{G} \to G_0$ to P is a local diffeomorphism $\Omega\colon P \to G_0$. Since $\Omega\colon \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ is a morphism of groupoids, the image $H_0 = \Omega(\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0})$ is a subgroup of G_0; its Lie algebra is the isotropy subalgebra

$$\{\xi \mid \xi^\dagger(m_0) = 0\} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0,$$

and it has the same dimension as $\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0}$.

Since we assume the action of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is geometrically closed, $H_0 \subset G_0$ is closed, so that G_0/H_0 is a smooth Hausdorff manifold. Again using the fact that Ω is a groupoid morphism, we see that Ω sends orbits of $\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0}$ in P to orbits of H_0 in G_0 (left cosets). Since $P/\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0} \cong M$, it follows that $\Omega\colon P \to G_0$ descends to a map $D\colon M \to G_0/H_0$ (see Fig. 1). This is the *development* determined by the arbitrary choice of point m_0. One has $D(m) = \Omega(p)H_0$, where $p \in \mathcal{G}$ is any arrow from m_0 to m.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P \arrow[r, "\Omega"] \arrow[d, "/\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0}"] & G_0 \arrow[d, "/H_0"] \\
M \arrow[r, "\exists D"] & G_0/H_0
\end{tikzcd}$$

FIGURE 1. Defining the development $D\colon M \to G_0/H_0$.

Proposition. *The development* $D\colon M \to G_0/H_0$ *is a local diffeomorphism.*

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of the fact that the principal bundle projection $P \to M$ is a surjective submersion (and so admits local sections), $\Omega\colon P \to G_0$ is a local diffeomorphism, and $\dim(\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0}) = \dim(H_0)$. □

Restricting the development to sufficiently small open sets in M, we obtain an atlas of charts trivially adapted the homogeneous space G_0/H_0:

Corollary. *If a finite-dimensional Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}_0$ *acts on a simply-connected manifold* M*, and this action is transitive and geometrically closed, then* M *is locally homogeneous. Indeed in that case there exists a closed subgroup* $H_0 \subset G_0$ *of the simply-connected Lie group integrating* $\mathfrak{g}_0$*, and an atlas of charts adapted to the homogeneous model* G_0/H_0 *whose transition functions are all identity transformations.*

4.2. **Behavior under equivariant coordinate changes.** In order to describe how development transforms under equivariant coordinate changes, we wish to associate, with each $\mathfrak{g}_0$-equivariant diffeomorphism $\phi\colon M \to M$ with twist $\mu \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$, a corresponding diffeomorphism

$$\phi_{G_0/H_0}\colon G_0/H_0 \to G_0/H_0.$$

To this end, we require the following:

Lemma A. *Let* $\phi\colon M \to M$ *be any smooth* $\mathfrak{g}_0$*-equivariant map with twist* $\mu \in \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$*. Let* $\hat{\mu}\colon G_0 \to G_0$ *denote the unique group homomorphism with derivative* μ*, and let* $(\mu \times \phi)^\wedge\colon \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ *denote the unique groupoid morphism with derivative* $\mu \times \phi\colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \times M \to \mathfrak{g}_0 \times M$*. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "(\mu\times\phi)^\wedge"] \arrow[d, "\Omega"] & \mathcal{G} \arrow[d, "\Omega\,."] \\
G_0 \arrow[r, "\hat{\mu}"] & G_0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. The groupoid morphisms $\Omega \circ (\mu \times \phi)^\wedge$ and $\hat{\mu} \circ \Omega$ have the same derivatives, namely $(\xi, m) \mapsto \mu\xi\colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \times M \to \mathfrak{g}_0$. By the uniqueness part of the generalization to Lie groupoids of Lie's second integrability theorem [6, Lie II], these morphisms must coincide. □

Lemma B. *Suppose* $\phi\colon M \to M$ *is a* $\mathfrak{g}_0$*-equivariant diffeomorphism with twist* $\mu \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$*. Let* $q \in \mathcal{G}$ *be any arrow from* m_0 *to* $\phi(m_0)$*, and let* $\hat{\mu}$ *be the unique automorphism of* G_0 *with derivative* μ*. Then* $\hat{\mu}(H_0) = \Omega(q)H_0\Omega(q)^{-1}$*.*

Proof. Because, in the notation of Lemma A, the groupoid automorphism $(\phi \times \mu)^\wedge\colon \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ covers $\phi\colon M \to M$, and because $q \in \mathcal{G}$ is an arrow from m_0 to $\phi(m_0)$, we have $(\phi \times \mu)^\wedge(\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0}) = q\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0}q^{-1}$. Applying that lemma, we compute

$$\hat{\mu}(H_0) = \hat{\mu}(\Omega(\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0})) = \Omega\Big((\phi \times \mu)^\wedge(\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0}) \Big) = \Omega(q\mathcal{G}_{m_0}^{m_0}q^{-1}) = \Omega(q)H_0\Omega(q)^{-1}.$$

□

Lemma B implies that the map $\phi_{G_0/H_0}\colon G_0/H_0 \to G_0/H_0$, given implicitly by

$$\phi_{G_0/H_0}(gH_0) = \hat{\mu}(g)\Omega(q)H_0,$$

is well-defined. It is also independent of the choice of point q, because $\Omega(q)H_0 = D(\phi(m_0))$. Note that despite our choice of notation, ϕ_{G_0/H_0} depends not just on ϕ but also on the twist μ. Evidently, ϕ_{G_0/H_0} is real-analytic.

Proposition. *Let* $D\colon M \to G_0/H_0$ *be the development associated with some finite-dimensional Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}_0$ *acting transitively on* M*. Then, for all* $\mathfrak{g}_0$*-equivariant diffeomorphisms* $\phi, \phi'\colon M \to M$ *with twists* μ, μ' *respectively, one has:*

1. $\phi_{G_0/H_0} \circ \phi'_{G_0/H_0} = (\phi \circ \phi')_{G_0/H_0}$.
2. $\phi_{G_0/H_0}\colon G_0/H_0 \to G_0/H_0$ *is* G_0*-equivariant with twist* $\hat{\mu}$*, in the sense that*

$$\phi_{G_0/H_0}(g \cdot x) = \hat{\mu}(g) \cdot \phi_{G_0/H_0}(x); \qquad g \in G_0,\ x \in G_0/H_0.$$

3. *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd} M \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d, "D"'] & M \arrow[d, "D"] \\ G_0/H_0 \arrow[r, "\phi_{G_0/H_0}"] & G_0/H_0 \end{tikzcd}.$$

Here $\hat{\mu}$ *denotes the unique automorphism of* G_0 *integrating the Lie algebra morphism* $\mu\colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_0$*.*

In other words, if $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0 \times M)$ denotes the group of all $\mathfrak{g}_0$-equivariant diffeomorphisms of M with twist, then the map $(\phi, \mu) \mapsto \phi_{G_0/H_0}$ defines an action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0 \times M)$ on G_0/H_0, and with respect to this action and the tautological action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0 \times M)$ on M, the development $D\colon M \to G_0/H_0$ is equivariant, in the standard sense of group actions.

Proof. Let $q, q' \in \mathcal{G}$ be arrows from m_0 to $\phi(m_0), \phi'(m_0)$ respectively. Then $q'' := (\phi \times \mu)^\wedge(q')\, q$ is an arrow from m_0 to $\phi(\phi'(m_0))$. It follows that for an arbitrary element $gH_0 \in G_0/H_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi \circ \phi')_{G_0/H_0}(gH_0) &= \widehat{\mu\mu'}(g)\Omega(q'')H_0 = \hat{\mu}(\widehat{\mu'}(g))\Omega\Big(\,(\phi \times \mu)^\wedge(q')q\,\Big)H_0\\ &= \hat{\mu}(\widehat{\mu'}(g))\hat{\mu}(\Omega(q'))\Omega(q)H_0 = \hat{\mu}\Big(\,\widehat{\mu'}(g)\Omega(q')\,\Big)\Omega(q)H_0,\end{aligned}$$

where at the beginning of the second line we have applied Lemma A. On the other hand, we have

$$\phi_{G_0/H_0}(\phi'_{G_0/H_0}(gH_0)) = \phi_{G_0/H_0}(\widehat{\mu'}(g)\Omega(q')H_0) = \hat{\mu}\Big(\,\widehat{\mu'}(g)\Omega(q')\,\Big)\Omega(q)H_0.$$

Comparing this equation with the preceding one establishes (1).

One deduces (2) immediately from the definition of ϕ_{G_0/H_0}. Regarding (3), let $m \in M$ be arbitrary and let $p \in \mathcal{G}$ be an arrow from m_0 to m. Then $p' := (\phi \times \mu)^\wedge(p)\, q$ is an arrow from m_0 to $\phi(m)$. Consequently, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}D(\phi(m)) = \Omega(p')H_0 &= \Omega\Big(\,(\phi \times \mu)^\wedge(p)\,\Big)\Omega(q)H_0\\ &= \hat{\mu}(\Omega(p))\Omega(q)H_0 = \phi_{G_0/H_0}(\Omega(p)H_0) = \phi_{G_0/H_0}(D(m)).\end{aligned}$$

At the beginning of the second line we have again applied Lemma A. □

5. Reconstruction

In this section we complete the proof of Theorem A by reconstructing a locally homogeneous structure from any transitive Lie algebroid supporting a flat, geometrically closed Cartan connection. We also explain how torsion and monodromy of the connection determine a suitable model G/H.

5.1. The role of torsion and monodromy.

Here is a detailed statement of what we will prove:

Proposition (Sufficiency in Theorem A)**.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a transitive Lie algebroid, over a smooth connected manifold M, and ∇ a flat, geometrically closed, Cartan connection on $\mathfrak{g}$. Define a $\mathfrak{g}$-connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ by*

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_{\# Y} X + [X, Y],$$

and let $\operatorname{tor} \bar{\nabla}$ *denotes its torsion:*

$$\operatorname{tor} \bar{\nabla}(X, Y) = \bar{\nabla}_X Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y X - [X, Y] = \nabla_{\# Y} X - \nabla_{\# X} Y + [X, Y].$$

Then, fixing a point $m_0 \in M$, we have:

(1) *The restriction of* $\operatorname{tor} \bar{\nabla}$ *to $\mathfrak{g}_0 := \mathfrak{g}|_{m_0}$ is a Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}_0$.*

Next, let $\Gamma = \pi_1(M, m_0)$ denote the fundamental group of M and let $\mu \colon \Gamma \to \mathfrak{g}_0$ denote the monodromy representation associated with the flat connection ∇. Then:

(2) Γ *acts on* $\mathfrak{g}_0$ *by Lie algebra automorphisms. In particular, the monodromy representation integrates to a group homomorphism* $\hat{\mu}\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}(G_0)$*, where* G_0 *denotes the simply-connected Lie group with Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}_0$*.*

Now let $G = \Gamma \times_{\hat{\mu}} G_0$ *denote the semidirect product, whose group operation is given by*

$$(\phi_1, g_1)(\phi_2, g_2) := \Big(\, \phi_1\phi_2, \hat{\mu}_{\phi_2}^{-1}(g_1)g_2 \,\Big).$$

And let $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}|_{m_0}$ *denote the kernel of the restriction of the anchor* $\#\colon \mathfrak{g} \to TM$ *to* $\mathfrak{g}|_{m_0}$*. Then:*

(3) *There exists a closed subgroup* $H \subset G$*, with Lie algebra* $\{0\} \times \mathfrak{h}_0$*, such that* M *is locally homogeneous with model* G/H*. Moreover, in a suitable atlas adapted to the model, all the transition functions are defined by elements of* G *contained within the subgroup* $\Gamma \cong \Gamma \times \{\mathrm{id}\} \subset G$*.*

Remark. In the proposition statement (but not the subsequent proof) monodromy is to be understood in the usual sense of parallel translation around closed paths; see the Remark in §2.5.

5.2. **Reconstruction.** To prove the proposition, pull $\mathfrak{g}$ back to a transitive Lie algebroid $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ over the universal cover $\tilde{M}$ of M, and ∇ back to a Cartan connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, as described in §2.5. Then, by Proposition 2.4, the finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \Gamma(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ of $\tilde{\nabla}$-parallel sections acts on $\tilde{M}$ and this action is transitive. That this Lie algebra may be identified with the fibre $\mathfrak{g}|_{m_0}$, equipped with the bracket described in (1), follows from Remark 2.5 and Proposition 2.5(5) (but we make no further use of this interpretation).

Identify Γ with the group of covering transformations and let us understand the monodromy representation $\phi \mapsto \mu_\phi\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ in the invariant sense defined in §2.5. That (2) holds is just Proposition 2.4(3). According to 2.5(4), each transformation $\phi \in \Gamma$ is a $\mathfrak{g}_0$-equivariant diffeomorphism of $\tilde{M}$ with twist μ_ϕ.

Now let $D\colon \tilde{M} \to G_0/H_0$ be the development associated with the action of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ on $\tilde{M}$, as determined by the choice of some fixed point $\tilde{m}_0 \in \tilde{M}$ covering m_0. This development is defined by the assumptions of transitivity and geometric closure. Applying Proposition 4.2(1), the map

$$(\phi, x) \mapsto \phi_{G_0/H_0}(x)\colon \Gamma \times G_0/H_0 \to G_0/H_0$$

defines a smooth action of Γ on G_0/H_0. By 4.2(2), this action is intertwined with that of G_0 according to

$$\phi_{G_0/H_0}(g_0 \cdot x) = \hat{\mu}_\phi(g_0) \cdot \phi_{G_0/H_0}(x); \qquad \phi \in \Gamma,\ g_0 \in G_0,\ x \in G_0/H_0.$$

It follows that the semidirect product $G := \Gamma \times_{\hat{\mu}} G_0$ acts on G_0/H_0 according to $(\phi, g_0) \cdot x = \phi_{G_0/H_0}(g_0 \cdot x)$. As this action is also transitive, we have a canonical isomorphism $G_0/H_0 \cong G/H$, where H is the isotropy at $\mathrm{id}H_0 \in G_0/H_0$ of the action by G just defined. We may consequently view the development as a map $D\colon \tilde{M} \to G/H$, and this map is a local diffeomorphism (Proposition 4.1). Furthermore, according to Proposition 4.2(3), this map transforms according to

$$D(\phi(\tilde{m})) = (\phi, \mathrm{id}) \cdot D(\tilde{m}); \qquad \phi \in \Gamma,\ \tilde{m} \in \tilde{M}. \tag{1}$$

Now cover M with open sets U_i, $i \in I$, small enough that each set U_i is evenly covered by some open set $\tilde{U}_i \subset \tilde{M}$, and such that the restriction of the development $D\colon \tilde{U}_i \to G/H$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image. Refining this covering if necessary, we may arrange that each non-empty intersection $U_i \cap U_j$ is connected (see, e.g., [5, Theorem I.5.1]). Let $s_i\colon U_i \to \tilde{U}_i$ denote the inverse of the restriction $\tilde{U}_i \to U_i$ of the covering $\tilde{M} \to M$. Define charts $\psi_i\colon U_i \to G/H$ by $\psi_i = D \circ s_i$. Then, whenever $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$, there exists a covering transformation $\phi_{ij} \in \Gamma$ such that $s_j = \phi_{ij} \circ s_i$ on $U_i \cap U_j$. (Here one uses the fact that two local continuous sections of a covering map that have a common *connected* domain will agree on the entire domain if they agree at one point.) Furthermore, for any $m \in U_i \cap U_j$, we have, by (1),

$$\psi_j(m) = D(s_j(m)) = D(\phi_{ij}(s_i(m))) = (\phi_{ij}, \mathrm{id}) \cdot D(s_i(m)) = (\phi_{ij}, \mathrm{id}) \cdot \psi_i(m).$$

Whence the $\psi_i\colon U_i \to G/H$, $i \in I$, constitute an atlas of charts adapted to the model G/H, and this atlas enjoys the property described in (3).

This completes the proof of 5.1 and so the proof of Theorem A.

6. COMPLETENESS

6.1. Completeness in terms of the associated Lie algebra action.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebroid on M (not necessarily transitive), let ∇ be a flat Cartan connection on $\mathfrak{g}$, and consider the associated action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ on $\tilde{M}$ discussed in §2.5.

Proposition. *A flat Cartan connection ∇ is complete, in the sense of §1.4, if and only if $\mathfrak{g}_0$ acts on $\tilde{M}$ by complete vector fields.*

Proof. Adopting the notation of §2.5, it is easy to see that ∇ is complete if and only if the pullback connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is complete. But, according to Proposition 2.4(5), $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is isomorphic to the action algebroid $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times \tilde{M}$, the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ being represented by the canonical flat connection on $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times \tilde{M}$. But geodesics of the canonical flat connection on $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times \tilde{M}$ are evidently those paths of the form $X_t = (\xi, \tilde{m}_t)$, where $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\tilde{m}_t$ is an integral curve of the corresponding vector field $\xi^\dagger$. □

Compactness of M is insufficient to guarantee completeness:

Counterexample. Let $M = S^1$ be the circle and let $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathbb{R}$ act on $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R}$ according to $1^\dagger = e^{-\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$. Here θ denotes the standard coordinate function on $\mathbb{R}$. Evidently, $1^\dagger$ is not a complete vector field.

Define a representation $\mu\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0) \cong \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$ of the group of covering transformations $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_0$ by $\mu_n = e^{2\pi n}$. As a covering transformation, each $n \in \Gamma$ is the map $\theta \mapsto \theta + 2\pi n$, which is a $\mathfrak{g}_0$-equivariant map with twist μ_n. By the discussion at the end of §2.5, the quotient $\mathfrak{g} = (\tilde{M} \times \mathfrak{g}_0)/\Gamma$ is a Lie algebroid over $M = S^1$ supporting a flat (and even geometrically closed) Cartan connection, but the corresponding Lie algebra action of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ on $\tilde{M}$ is, by construction, incomplete.

6.2. **Sufficient conditions for completeness.** Although compactness of M is not sufficient to guarantee completeness, compactness *plus* simple-connectivity is obviously sufficient, for then $\tilde{M}$ is also compact (and all vector fields on compact manifolds are complete). More generally, we have:

Proposition. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebroid over M equipped with a flat Cartan connection ∇, and let $\mu\colon \Gamma \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ denote the associated monodromy representation, as described in §2.5. Assume M is compact and that one of the following conditions holds:*

(1) *The image $\mu(\Gamma) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ of the monodromy representation has compact closure, denoted $\bar{\Gamma} \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$; or*
(2) *M admits a Riemannian metric σ such that the corresponding metric on $\tilde{M}$ is $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant.*

Then ∇ is complete.

Recall that because we assume ∇ is Cartan, the associated $\mathfrak{g}$-connection on TM defined in §2.1 is in fact a $\mathfrak{g}$-representation. There is a corresponding representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $S^2(T^*M)$, of which σ is a section. This explains what is meant by $\mathfrak{g}$-invariance in (2). Note also that (1) is automatic in the special case that $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ is already a compact group.

Before proving the preceding proposition, note that by Proposition 6.1 and Theorem 2.5, it suffices to establish the completeness of any action $\xi \mapsto \xi^\dagger$ of some Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ on $\tilde{M}$, under the assumption that each covering transformation $\phi \in \Gamma$ is $\mathfrak{g}_0$-equivariant with twist μ_ϕ. In this case the $\mathfrak{g}$-invariance of the metric σ in hypothesis (2) amounts to $\mathfrak{g}_0$-invariance of the lifted metric on $\tilde{M}$.

Proof that (1) *implies completeness.* Fix some $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. We want to show that $\xi^\dagger$ is a complete vector field on $\tilde{M}$. We will do this by finding local lower bounds on the time of validity of its flow that are uniform with respect to the action of Γ; the compactness of M will then imply these bounds are globally uniform. To begin with, let us record that

$$\phi_* \xi^\dagger = (\mu_\phi \xi)^\dagger, \tag{3}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\phi \in \Gamma$; here ϕ_* denotes pushforward. This is just a restatement of the $\mathfrak{g}_0$-equivariance condition on the group of covering transformations.

By the hypothesis (1), there exists a Γ-invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}_0$ (take an arbitrary inner product and average with respect to the Haar measure on the compact topological group $\bar{\Gamma}$). Equipping M with an arbitrary Riemannian metric, we evidently obtain a Γ-invariant metric on $\tilde{M}$. At each

$\tilde{m} \in \tilde{M}$ we now define

$$c(\tilde{m}) := \sup_{\xi \neq 0} \frac{|\xi^\dagger(\tilde{m})|}{|\xi|},$$

where the norms in the numerator and denominator are defined with respect to the metric and inner product just introduced. It is clear $c(\tilde{m})$ is a continuous with respect to $\tilde{m}$.

With the help of (3), Γ-invariance of the metric on $\tilde{M}$, as well as Γ-invariance of the inner product on $\mathfrak{g}_0$, one next shows that c is Γ-invariant. We can therefore view it as a continuous function $c\colon M \to [0, \infty)$, and we have the Γ-uniform estimate

$$|\xi^\dagger(\tilde{m})| \leq c(\pi(\tilde{m}))|\xi|; \qquad \xi \in \mathfrak{g}_0,\ \tilde{m} \in \tilde{M}. \tag{4}$$

Here $\pi\colon \tilde{M} \to M$ denotes the covering projection.

We will now use the following lemma, whose proof is an elementary exercise in Riemannian geometry.

Lemma. *Let m be an arbitrary point of a Riemann manifold M, and let $r > 0$ be small enough that the open geodesic ball $B_{3r}(m)$ of radius $3r$ about m is well-defined.*[3] *Then for any vector field V on M, integral curves of V beginning in $B_r(m)$ are well-defined for all times $t \in [-T, T]$, where $T := r/\|V\|_{m,2r}$. Here, $\|V\|_{m,2r}$ denotes the supremum of $|V(n)|$ over all $n \in B_{2r}(m)$.*

So, let $m \in M$ be arbitrary. Then there is $r = r(m) > 0$ such that the geodesic ball $B_{3r}(m) \subset M$ is well-defined, has compact closure, and is evenly covered by a disjoint union of geodesic balls $B_{3r}(\tilde{m}) \subset \tilde{M}$, one for each $\tilde{m}$ lying over m in the covering. For any such $\tilde{m}$ we have, in the notation of the lemma,

$$\|\xi^\dagger\|_{\tilde{m},2r} \leqslant C(m)|\xi|, \quad \text{where}\, C(m) := \sup\{c(m) \mid m \in B_{2r}(m)\}.$$

Here we have applied (4) and have $C(m) < \infty$ because c is continuous and $B_{2r}(m)$ has compact closure. Applying the lemma to the manifold $\tilde{M}$, we conclude that for any initial condition covering a point in $B_r(m) \subset M$, the corresponding integral curve of $\xi^\dagger$ is defined for all times $t \in [-T(m), T(m)]$, where $T(m) := r(m)/(C(m)|\xi|) > 0$. Since M is compact, there is a finite covering $\{B_{r(m_j)}(m_j)\}_{j=1}^N$ of M, for some $m_1, \ldots, m_N \in M$. So an integral curve of $\xi^\dagger$ with *any* initial condition is defined for all times $t \in [-T_{\min}, T_{\min}]$, where $T_{\min} := \min_{j=1}^N T(m_j) > 0$. Since this lower bound on times is independent of the initial condition, the curve is defined for all time. □

Proof that (2) *implies completeness.* In this case every vector field $\xi^\dagger$ is a Killing field on the Riemannian manifold $\tilde{M}$. In particular, every integral curve $t \mapsto \tilde{m}(t)\colon (a, b) \to \tilde{M}$ of $\xi^\dagger$ has constant speed. Since M is compact, it is a complete metric space, and so therefore is the universal cover $\tilde{M}$.

---

[3] Here we mean a geodesic=normal ball in the sense of, e.g., p. 70 of do Carmo's *Riemannian Geometry.*

Suppose that $t_n \to b < \infty$, for some sequence $t_1, t_2, \dots \in (a, b)$. Because $m(t)$ has constant speed, $m(t_1), m(t_2), \dots$ is a Cauchy sequence, which must therefore converge to some $m_b \in M$. Any integral curve of $\xi^\dagger$ beginning at m_b may, by uniqueness of integral curves, be regarded as an extension of $m(t)$, i.e., $m(t)$ extends to some time interval (a, b_+) with $b_+ > b$. A similar argument applies to a. Whence $\xi^\dagger$ is complete by the usual proof by contradiction. $\square$

6.3. **The proof of Theorem B.** With Proposition 6.1 in hand, the necessity of completeness in Theorem B is not difficult to prove. We now prove sufficiency. That is, we suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ is a transitive Lie algebroid over M, equipped with a flat, geometrically closed, complete Cartan connection ∇, and prove that M is homogeneous up to cover.

Consider the corresponding action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ on $\tilde{M}$ described in §2.5, and let G_0 be the simply-connected Lie group integrating $\mathfrak{g}_0$. According to Proposition 6.1 and Palais' integrability theorem [13], the action of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ can be integrated to an action of the Lie group G_0. Therefore, in the definition of the development $D\colon \tilde{M} \to G_0/H_0$ of the $\mathfrak{g}_0$-action — see §4.1, but read $\tilde{M}$ in place of M — we may take $\mathcal{G}$ to be the action groupoid $G_0 \times \tilde{M}$, and the groupoid morphism $\Omega\colon \mathcal{G} \to G_0$ is just the projection $\Omega\colon G_0 \times \tilde{M} \to G_0$. Moreover, $P = G_0 \times \{\tilde{m}_0\}$ and the development $D\colon \tilde{M} \to G_0/H_0$ is seen to be a diffeomorphism.

If G and H have the meanings given in Proposition 5.1 and its subsequent proof, we obtain an identification $\tilde{M} \cong G/H$, with the tautological action of the group of covering transformations Γ on $\tilde{M}$ being replaced by the action of Γ on G/H as a subgroup of G (by 5.2(1)). Whence $M \cong (G/H)/\Gamma$.

REFERENCES

[1] D. V. Alekseevsky and P. W. Michor. Differential geometry of Cartan connections. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 47(3-4):349–375, 1995.

[2] A. D. Blaom. Geometric structures as deformed infinitesimal symmetries. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 358:3651–3671, 2006.

[3] A. D. Blaom. Lie algebroids and Cartan's method of equivalence. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364:3071–3135, 2012.

[4] A. D. Blaom. A Lie theory of pseudogroups. In preparation, 2013.

[5] Raoul Bott and Loring W. Tu. *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, volume 82 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

[6] Marius Crainic and Rui Loja Fernandes. Lectures on integrability of Lie brackets. In *Lectures on Poisson geometry*, volume 17 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, pages 1–107. Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2011.

[7] P. Dazord. Groupoïde d'holonomie et géométrie globale. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 324(1):77–80, 1997.

[8] William M. Goldman. Locally homogeneous geometric manifolds. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. Volume II*, pages 717–744, New Delhi, 2010. Hindustan Book Agency.

[9] R. C. Gunning. Special coordinate coverings of Riemann surfaces. *Math. Ann.*, 170:67–86, 1967.

[10] Franz W. Kamber and Peter W. Michor. Completing Lie algebra actions to Lie group actions. *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 10:1–10 (electronic), 2004.

[11] Oldřich Kowalski. On strictly locally homogeneous Riemannian manifolds. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 7(2):131–137, 1997.

[12] K. C. H. Mackenzie. *General Theory of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids*, volume 213 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[13] R. S. Palais. A Global Formulation of the Lie Theory of Transformation Groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1957.

[14] R. W. Sharpe. *Differential Geometry: Cartan's generalization of Klein's Erlangen program*, volume 166 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 1997.

[15] W. P. Thurston. *Three-Dimensional Geometry and Topology, Volume 1*, volume 35 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1997.

22 Ridge Road, Waiheke Island, New Zealand. E-mail: `anthony.blaom@gmail.com`